



**CITY OF ROSLYN
PLANNING AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION
COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES**

July 12, 2012 – 6:00 P.M.

201 S 1st, Roslyn, Washington

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, AGENDA UPDATE

1. Commissioners Brodine, Woodwell, Sweet, Craven were present
2. Commissioners Flowers, Whitelaw, & Basterrechea were absent
 - a. Commissioner Craven moved to excuse the absences
 - b. Commissioner Sweet seconded the motion
 - c. The motion passed
3. Commissioner Basterrechea arrived at 6:06pm

CONSENT AGENDA:

None

CITIZEN'S COMMENTS: (on non agenda items)

None

DISCUSSION ITEMS: (action may be taken following commission discussion)

1. Design Review –

- a. 606 S 2nd St – Montgomery – R&R Deck & Gravel Driveway
 1. Ms. Montgomery gave a brief summary of her project
 2. Commissioner Basterrechea moved to accept the finding of facts, Commissioner Craven seconded the motion, and the motion passed
 3. Commissioner Sweet moved to accept the project; Commissioner Basterrechea seconded, and the motion passed
- b. 314 S 2nd St – Martin – Remodel
 1. Mr. Torrence from Brookside Builders gave a brief summary of the project
 2. Shawna gave a brief summary of the project history
 3. Commissioner Basterrechea moved to accept the amended finding of facts, Commissioner Craven seconded the motion, and the motion passed
 4. Commissioner Basterrechea moved to accept the project; Commissioner Craven seconded the motion, and the motion passed

2. Minutes – June 28

- a. Edit the height of A-boards to read 48", with the height of the sign on the A-board to be no more than 36"
- b. Shawna asked if every agrees with the Denning review notes
 1. The Commission agreed with the minutes
- c. The commissioners asked Shawna to look into whether or not the Commission operates based on Roberts Rules of Order, and if so, what that means for abstaining
- d. Commissioner Craven had a concern about the proposed wording change on the sign code, and it was determined that he was looking at the original wording instead of the proposed changes.
- e. Commissioner Basterrechea moved to accept the minutes, Commissioner Woodwell seconded the motion; and the motion passed

3. Sign Code Review

b. Murals

1. The Commissioners discussed what is a mural, why we have them, what do we like about them, etc.
 - a. Not Historical – were not here from 1890-1930
 - b. Iconic
 - c. Per code: "mural" means graphic art without commercial text, brand, or message
 - d. The commission considered creating a new definition to be added to that: "mural sign" means graphic art with commercial text, brand, or message
 - e. The commissioners discussed how they would like the mural vs mural sign measured and calculated in signage, for instance:
 - a mural can take up the whole side of a building, but the words that advertise can only be so big, when measured in a rectangle surrounding the words?
 - f. Staff will do some research into other cities and how they handle mural signs.
 - g. How would we regulate the style of mural, could we require it come to the commission for review?
 - h. maybe have the code set to allow the mural as long as it is in line with the comp plan and in the commercial or light industrial zone

At 7:33pm, Commissioner Basterrechea moved to adjourn the meeting, Commissioner Craven seconded and the motion passed

